6-186

CONSERVATION INNOVATION GRANTS

Final Report September 2009

Grantee Name: Maryland Grantee Name: Maryland Grantee	rain Producers Utilization Board (MGPUB)	
Project Title: Using Biofuels	Production to Enhance Chesapeake Bay Water Quality through	
Expanded Cover Crop Planting		
Project Director: Lynne Hoot, Maryland Grain Producers Utilization Board		
Contact Information:	Phone Number: 410-956-5771	
Lynne Hoot	E-mail: LynneHoot@aol.com	
Period Covered by Report: August 2008-September 2009		
Project End Date: 9/30/2009		

Summarize the work performed during the project period covered by this report:

In light of the decision by Chesapeake Ethanol LLC not to move forward with the construction of a barley-based ethanol plant in Maryland, MGPUB has struggled with the best way to promote the use of barley as a cover crop to help both energy production and the Bay. We chose not to terminate the grant as there were two other projects in the region looking at barley as a feedstock; one project is on Maryland's Lower Eastern Shore and the other in Hopewell, Virginia. These projects did not move forward quick enough to impact this project year, but the Hopewell, Virginia, plant is under construction. This year, MGPUB continued to work with the Maryland Department of Agriculture (MDA), the Maryland Association of Soil Conservation Districts (MASCD) and the University of Maryland (UMD) to provide the opportunity to farmers to grow hulless barley under the MDA Maryland Agricultural Cost Share Program (MACS) harvestable cover crop program. This program provides a supplement payment of \$15/acre so farmers learn the agronomics and economics of growing hulless barley.

Describe significant results, accomplishments, and lessons learned. Compare actual accomplishments to the project goals in your proposal:

In the first year of the program, 18 farmers signed up to grow 2,099 acres of hulless barley under the MACS Harvestable Cover Crop Program, 692 acres were actually planted. In the second year, 12 farmers signed up to grow 1,426 acres and 870 acres were actually planted. In its third year, 13 farmers signed up to plant 1,499 acres of hulless barley which resulted in an actual fall planting by two farmers of 639 acres. Our goal had been to have 2,000, 10,000 and 49,500 acres progressively for a total of 61,500 acres.

The two growers that planted the crop in year three had both grown hulless barley in prior years and were willing to grow the crop again in spite of the 20% yield decline compared to traditional barley. Those that chose not to grow it again cited the yield loss, lack of market and poor availability of seed as their reasons for not planting hulless barley in the fall.

In speaking with the project leaders of the two regional ethanol projects that intend to use barley, they have decided to use traditional hulled barley and remove the hull prior to fermentation.

Phone: 410-956-9771 Fax: 410-956-0161 www.marylandgrain.com Email: lynnehoot@aol.com

They intend to burn the hulls as a biomass energy source which has the potential to take them beyond the definition of "first generation" ethanol producers. The newer traditional hulled varieties developed by Virginia Tech have a higher test weight and significantly improved yields to make ethanol from hulled barley a viable option. The hulled barley "Thoroughbred" has proven to be a very useful small grain crop and as a result farmers are considering growing more barley. This in itself is good for the Chesapeake Bay as having a small grains crop growing in a field following a corn crop takes up nutrients unused by the previous crop or released during mineralization of the corn stover as occurs in the warmer months following corn harvest. This is true whether the barley is grown as a cover crop or a traditional field crop with nutrients applied adhering to a nutrient management plan. Hulless barley is still a preferred crop for ethanol production and scientist's at Virginia Tech are breeding new lines of hulless back-crossed with the high yielding "Thoroughbred" barley which may renew the desire and interest in hulless barley.

Describe the work that you have completed since that time and plan to complete during the remainder of the grant period:

MGPUB chose not to push fellow grain farmers into producing large volumes of a crop for which there was not an emerging or established market. They felt that it was unfortunate about the lack of barley to ethanol market and rather than risk losing future support for such a venture they chose to let the grant continue to enable farmers who chose to grow the hulless barley receive a payment to help offset the lower yield, but did not provide the publicity and promotion for the crop as originally intended. Now, the new interest in producing ethanol from barley provides the important end market that was unavailable to growers during the three-year timeframe of the grant and the renewed commitment by the federal and state governments to the Chesapeake Bay cleanup provides an even greater need to expand cropping systems that provide farm income and water quality benefits. MGPUB is therefore proposing to adapt the project to encourage farmers growing hulled barley, as well as hulless barley, for ethanol to do so without the use of fall fertilizer in a commodity cover crop approach. This amendment will start with fall 2010 planted barley. Construction of Osage BioEnergy's 55 million gallon ethanol plant in Hopewell, Virginia is already underway with production starting in 2010. They intend to use both hulled and hulless barley but if they are unable to source enough barley, they intend to use corn, so we are seeking an amendment and a no-cost extension to the grant through September 2012, to encourage farmers to grow more barley, without the use of fall fertilizer.

Attached is documentation to provide the following in accordance with the Environmental Quality Incentives Program (EQIP) and CIG grant agreement provisions:

- a. A listing of EQIP-eligible producers involved in the project, identified by name and social security number or taxpayer identification number;
 - b. The dollar amount of any direct or indirect payment made to each individual producer or entity for any structural, vegetative, or management practices. Both biennial and cumulative payment amounts must be submitted.
- c. A self-certification statement indicating that each individual or entity receiving a direct or indirect payment for any structural, vegetative, or management practice through this grant is in compliance with the adjusted gross income (AGI) and highly-erodible lands and wetlands conservation (HEL/WC) compliance provisions of the Farm Bill.

EQIP Eligibility Confirmed by NRCS	SSN/FID#	Account Name) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1	70	Zip	Acre S Requ este	Acres Grow n	Indirect Paymen t by NRCS	Paid by MDA
		JOHN P. HARRISON FARM SERVICE, LLC	WOODBINE	MD	21797	20		5 A CONTROL OF THE CO	
		J. PARKER SMITH	NEW WINDSOR	MD	21776	100			
		DANIEL F. VAUGHAN	WHITE HALL	₽	21161	5			
2-0	52-0004562	COLD BOTTOM FARMS, INC.	SPARKS	MD	21152	009	596.74	\$8,951	\$17,902
		GLENN BENSON	BISHOPVILLE	QW.	21813	50			
		CHARLES W. BROOKS	TANEYTOWN	æ	21787	4			
İ		PATEY FARMS INC.	WILLARDS	MD	21874	50			
Š	000-00-3738	DAVID S. GSELL	CHESTERTOWN	MD	21620	90	33.20	\$498	966\$
		JERRY DAVIDSON INC	MILLINGTON	MD	21651	40			
ļ		LIPPY BROTHERS, INC	HAMPSTEAD	ΩM	21074	100			
		WALTER MAYS III	WHITE HALL	Æ	21161	300			
		RIDGETON FARMS, INC.	TAYLORS ISLAND	ДW	21669	100			
- 1		GLENN J. GOLDBURN	MARYDEL	MD	21649	80			
						,		-	
						1,499	629.94	\$9,449	\$18,898

2008/2009 Hulless Barley Participants and Grant Recipients